

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,538	06/22/1999	FRANK ALAN PAVELSKI	52817.000097	9120
29315	7590 07/12/2002			
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PO			EXAMINER	
	OM DRIVE, SUITE 400	00	TRAN, MYLINH T	
RESTON, VA	A 20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			DATE MAIL ED- 07/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/337,538	PAVELSKI ET AL.				
The t	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mylinh T Tran	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the supplication application are supplied to the supplication of t	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by the state of the proposed amendment of the pr	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
		NOTE I I I				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application	•	arially raduaing or aimplifying the				
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:		nnally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>IO</u> .						
10. Other:	SUPE	KRISTINE KINCAIC KRISTINE KINCAID RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the limitation: a plurality of predefined frameset arrangements, Kraus et al. teaches it at figures 2-4, column 1, lines 39-51. Kraus et al. cites "allows a user to create a multiple frame web page by manipulating a graphical display representing the web page". For the limitation: the plurality of predefined frameset arrangements are displayed as objects to a user, Burns shows it at figure 2A, plurality objects (21) are displayed to the user.